FILEP'09 NOV 04 1458USDC-ORP

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

MICHAEL SALVATORE BUFFA,

Civil No. 08-156-AC

Petitioner,

v. ORDER

BRIAN BELLEQUE,

Respondent.

MARSH, Judge.

Magistrate Judge John V. Acosta filed his Findings and Recommendation on October 7, 2009. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate's report.

See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore

Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

1 - ORDER

Petitioner has filed timely objections. I have, therefore, given the file of this case a de novo review.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Findings and Recommendation #34 of Magistrate Judge Acosta.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of November, 2009

Malcolm 7 Marsh

Malcolm F. Marsh

United States District Judge